arXiv:1207.6793v1 [math.PR] 29 Jul 2012

INFINITE DETERMINANTAL MEASURES

ALEXANDER I. BUFETOV

ABSTRACT. Infinite determinantal measures introduced in this note are inductive limits of determinantal measures on an exhausting family of subsets of the phase space. Alternatively, an infinite determinantal measure can be described as a product of a determinantal process and a convergent, but not integrable, multiplicative functional.

The main result announced in this note gives an explicit description for the ergodic decomposition of infinite Pickrell measures on the spaces of infinite complex matrices in terms of infinite determinantal measures obtained by finite-rank perturbations of Bessel point processes.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let E be a locally compact complete metric space, and let $\mathrm{Conf}(E)$ be the space of configurations on E endowed with the natural Borel structure (see, e.g., [9], [21]).

Our first aim in this note is to construct infinite analogues of determinantal measures on $\mathrm{Conf}(E)$. The construction is based on the following result in [7] generalized in Proposition 3 below.

Let μ be a sigma-finite Borel measure on E assigning finite weight to compact sets, and let $\Pi : L_2(E, \mu) \to H$ be an operator of orthogonal projection onto a closed subspace $H \subset L_2(E, \mu)$. Assume that Π defines a determinantal measure $\mathbb{P}_\Pi$ (see [21]). Let $\tilde{E} \subset E$ be a Borel subset, and let $\mathrm{Conf}(E; \tilde{E})$ be the subset in $\mathrm{Conf}(E)$ consisting of configurations all whose particles belong to $\tilde{E}$. Given a bounded measurable function g on E, we will often identify g with the bounded operator of multiplication by g.

Under certain additional assumptions precisely formulated below it is shown in [7] that the induced measure of $\mathbb{P}_\Pi$ on $\mathrm{Conf}(E; \tilde{E})$ is a determinantal process induced by the operator $\Pi^{\tilde{E}}$ of orthogonal projection onto the subspace $\chi_{\tilde{E}} H$.

This result allows us to perturb a determinantal measure $\mathbb{P}_\Pi$ by a finite-dimensional space V of locally square-integrable functions. The construction can informally be summarized as follows.

Assume that there exists an increasing exhausting family of Borel sets E_n, $E_n \subset E_{n+1}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\bigcup\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = E$, such that $\chi_{E_n} V \subset L_2(E, \mu)$ for all n.

Let $\Pi_V^{E_n}$ be the operator of orthogonal projection onto the closed subspace

$$\chi_{E_n}V + \chi_{E_n}H \subset L_2(E,\mu).$$

Under certain additional assumptions precisely formulated below, there exists a σ-finite measure $\mathbb{B}$ on $\mathrm{Conf}(E)$ satisfying the following:

(1) for $\mathbb{B}$-almost every configuration X there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that all particles in X lie in E_n;

(2) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$0 < \mathbb{B}(\mathrm{Conf}(E;E_n)) < +\infty;$$

(3) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\frac{\mathbb{B}|_{\mathrm{Conf}(E;E_n)})}{\mathbb{B}(\mathrm{Conf}(E;E_n))} = \mathbb{P}_{\Pi_V^{E_n}}.$$

These three requirements determine the measure $\mathbb{B}$ uniquely up to multiplication by a constant.

We now proceed to the precise formulation of assumptions needed for the above construction. To simplify the exposition, we first consider the special case of projection operators with continuous kernels and of a one-dimensional subspace V.

Let $\Pi : E \times E \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function such that the formula

$$\Pi\psi(x) = \int \Pi(x,y)\psi(y)d\mu(y)$$

defines an orthogonal projection operator on $L_2(E,\mu)$. Let the closed subspace H be the range of Π. By definition, the operator Π is of local trace class: for any bounded Borel set $B \subset E$, the operator $\chi_B\Pi\chi_B$ is of trace class. By the Macchì-Soshnikov Theorem, the operator Π induces a determinantal probability measure $\mathbb{P}_\Pi$ on $\mathrm{Conf}(E)$.

Now let φ be a positive continuous function on E satisfying the local square integrability condition: for any bounded Borel set $B \subset E$ we have

$$\int_B \varphi^2 d\mu < +\infty.$$

We need the following

Assumption 1. *There exists a Borel subset $E_0 \subset E$ such that:*

(1)
$$\int\limits_{E\setminus E_0} \Pi(x,x)d\mu(x) < +\infty;$$

(2)
$$||\chi_{E\setminus E_0}\Pi|| < 1;$$

(3)
$$\int\limits_{E_0} \varphi^2 d\mu < +\infty;$$

(4)
$$\int\limits_{E \setminus E_0} \varphi(x)\Pi(x,x)d\mu(x) < +\infty.$$

For a Borel subset $\widetilde{E} \supset E_0$ satisfying $\chi_{\widetilde{E}}\varphi \in L_2(E,\mu)$, let $\Pi_{\varphi}^{\widetilde{E}}$ be the operator of orthogonal projection onto the subspace $\chi_{\widetilde{E}}\varphi + \chi_{\widetilde{E}}H$.

Proposition 1. *Let $\Pi : E \times E \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous kernel inducing an orthogonal projection operator in $L_2(E,\mu)$, let φ be a continuous function on E locally square-integrable with respect to μ, and let $E_0 \subset E$ be a Borel subset. If Assumption 1 is verified for Π, φ, and E_0, then there exists a σ-finite Borel measure $\mathbb{B}$ on $\mathrm{Conf}(E)$ such that*

(1) *for any Borel subset $\widetilde{E} \supset E_0$ satisfying $\chi_{\widetilde{E}}\varphi \in L_2(E,\mu)$, we have $0 < \mathbb{B}(\mathrm{Conf}(E;\widetilde{E})) < +\infty$ and*
$$\frac{\mathbb{B}|_{\mathrm{Conf}(E;\widetilde{E})}}{\mathbb{B}(\mathrm{Conf}(E;\widetilde{E}))} = \mathbb{P}_{\Pi_{\varphi}^{\widetilde{E}}}.$$

(2) *There exists an increasing exhausting family of Borel sets $\tilde{E}_n$,*
$$E_0 \subset \tilde{E}_n \subset \tilde{E}_{n+1},\ n \in \mathbb{N},$$
such that $\chi_{\tilde{E}_n}\varphi \in L_2(E,\mu)$ for all n and
$$\mathbb{B}\left(\mathrm{Conf}(E) \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Conf}(E;\tilde{E}_n)\right) = 0.$$

The requirements 1 and 2 determine the measure $\mathbb{B}$ uniquely up to multiplication by a constant.

2. MULTIPLICATIVE FUNCTIONALS OF DETERMINANTAL PROCESSES

2.1. Locally integrable functions and locally trace class operators.

We will need several functional spaces associated with the pair (E,μ).

Recall that $L_{2,loc}(E,\mu)$ is the space of all measurable functions $f : E \to \mathbb{C}$ such that for any bounded subset $B \subset E$ we have

$$\int\limits_{B} |f|^2 d\mu < +\infty. \tag{1}$$

Choosing an exhausting family B_n of bounded sets (for instance, balls of radius tending to infinity) and using (1) with $B = B_n$, we endow the space $L_{2,loc}(E,\mu)$ with a countable family of seminorms which turns it into a complete separable metric space; the topology thus defined does not, of course, depend on the specific choice of the exhausting family.

Let $\mathscr{I}_1(E,\mu)$ be the ideal of trace class operators $\widetilde{K}\colon L_2(E,\mu)\to L_2(E,\mu)$ (see volume 1 of [20] for the precise definition); the symbol $||\widetilde{K}||_{\mathscr{I}_1}$ will stand for the $\mathscr{I}_1$-norm of the operator $\widetilde{K}$. Let $\mathscr{I}_2(E,\mu)$ be the ideal of Hilbert-Schmidt operators $\widetilde{K}\colon L_2(E,\mu)\to L_2(E,\mu)$; the symbol $||\widetilde{K}||_{\mathscr{I}_2}$ will stand for the $\mathscr{I}_2$-norm of the operator $\widetilde{K}$.

Let $\mathscr{I}_{1,loc}(E,\mu)$ be the space of operators $K\colon L_2(E,\mu)\to L_2(E,\mu)$ such that for any bounded Borel subset $B\subset E$ we have

$$\chi_B K\chi_B\in\mathscr{I}_1(E,\mu).$$

Again, we endow the space $\mathscr{I}_{1,loc}(E,\mu)$ with a countable family of seminorms

$$||\chi_B K\chi_B||_{\mathscr{I}_1} \tag{2}$$

where, as before, B runs through an exhausting family B_n of bounded sets.

2.2. **Determinantal processes.** For a bounded Borel set $A\subset E$ consider the function

$$\#_A\colon \mathrm{Conf}(E)\to\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$$

that to a configuration $X\in\mathrm{Conf}(E)$ assigns the number of particles in X belonging to A. Recall that a Borel probability measure $\mathbb{P}$ on $\mathrm{Conf}(E)$ is called *determinantal* if there exists an operator $K\in\mathscr{I}_{1,loc}(E,\mu)$ such that for any pairwise disjoint bounded Borel sets $A_1,\dots,A_l\subset E$ and any $z_1,\dots,z_l\in\mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} z_1^{\#_{A_1}}\cdots z_l^{\#_{A_l}}=\det\biggl(1+\sum_{i=1}^{l}(z_i-1)\chi_{A_i}K\chi_{\sqcup_i A_i}\biggr). \tag{3}$$

The Fredholm determinant in (3) is well-defined since $K\in\mathscr{I}_{1,loc}(E,\mu)$.

In what follows we suppose that K belongs to $\mathscr{I}_{1,\mathrm{loc}}(E,\mu)$, and denote the corresponding determinantal measure by $\mathbb{P}_K$. Note that $\mathbb{P}_K$ is uniquely defined by K, but different operators may yield the same measure. By the Macchì—Soshnikov theorem [10], [21], any Hermitian positive contraction that belongs to the class $\mathscr{I}_{1,\mathrm{loc}}(E,\mu)$ defines a determinantal process.

2.3. **Multiplicative functionals.** Let g be a non-negative measurable function on E, and introduce the *multiplicative functional* $\Psi_g:\mathrm{Conf}(E)\to\mathbb{R}$ by the formula

$$\Psi_g(X)=\prod_{x\in X}g(x).$$

In the product in the right-hand side diverges, then the multiplicative functional is not defined.

At the centre of the construction of infinite determinantal measures lies the result of [7] that can informally be summarized as follows: a determinantal measure times a multiplicative functional is again a determinantal measure. In other words, if $\mathbb{P}_K$ is a determinantal measure on $\mathrm{Conf}(E)$ induced by the operator K on $L_2(E,\mu)$, then, under certain additional assumptions, it is shown in [7] that the measure

$$\frac{\Psi_g \mathbb{P}_K}{\int \Psi_g d\mathbb{P}_K}$$

is a determinantal measure.

2.4. **The space $\mathscr{I}_\xi$.** It is required in [7] that the operator $(g-1)K$ be of trace class; this assumption is too restrictive for our purposes, and we shall now formulate a slightly more general version of Proposition 1 in [7]. To do so, we first consider a slightly more general algebra of operators K for which the trace trK and the Fredholm determinant $\det(1+K)$ can be defined and shown to have the usual properties. The space $\mathscr{I}_\xi(E,\mu)$ is a modification of the space $\mathcal{L}_{1|2}(H)$ introduced by Borodin, Okounkov and Olshanski [2]. We proceed to precise formulations.

Take a countable partition ξ of our space E into disjoint bounded measurable sets E_n, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Introduce the sets

$$\{\xi > n\} = \bigcup_{k=n+1}^{\infty} E_k;\ \{\xi < n\} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} E_k. \tag{4}$$

Informally, ξ is considered as a random variable taking integer values.

The subspace

$$\mathscr{I}_\xi(E,\mu) \subset \mathscr{I}_{1,loc}(E,\mu)$$

is now defined as follows: an operator $K \in \mathscr{I}_{1,loc}(E,\mu)$ belongs to $\mathscr{I}_\xi(E,\mu)$ if

1. $K \in \mathscr{I}_2(E,\mu)$;
2. $\sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} ||\chi_{E_n} K \chi_{E_n}||_{\mathscr{I}_1} < +\infty$.

The space $\mathscr{I}_\xi(E,\mu)$ is normed by the formula

$$||K||_{\mathscr{I}_\xi} = ||K||_{\mathscr{I}_2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||\chi_{E_n} K \chi_{E_n}||_{\mathscr{I}_1}.$$

By definition, the space $\mathscr{I}_\xi(E,\mu)$ is an algebra. For $K \in \mathscr{I}_\xi(E,\mu)$, the Fredholm determinant $\det(1+K)$ is defined by the formula

$$\det(1+K) = \det\left((1+K)\exp(-K)\right)\exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\operatorname{tr}(\chi_{E_n}K\chi_{E_n})\right). \tag{5}$$

The right-hand side of (5) is well-defined since $(1+K)\exp(-K) \in \mathscr{I}_1$ for any $K \in \mathscr{I}_2$.

For $K_1, K_2 \in \mathscr{I}_\xi$, we clearly have

$$\det((1+K_1)(1+K_2)) = \det(1+K_1)\det(1+K_2).$$

The following proposition is clear from the definitions.

Proposition 2. *If* $(g-1)K \in \mathscr{I}_\xi(E,\mu)$, *then* $\Psi_g \in L_1(\mathrm{Conf}(E),\mathbb{P}_K)$ *and*

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_K}\Psi_g = \det(1+(g-1)K).$$

Now assume that the operator $1+(g-1)K$ is invertible, and define operators

$$B(g,K) = gK(1+(g-1)K)^{-1}, \qquad \tilde{B}(g,K) = \sqrt{g}K(1+(g-1)K)^{-1}\sqrt{g}.$$

By definition, $B(g,K)$, $\tilde{B}(g,K) \in \mathscr{I}_{1,\text{loc}}(E,\mu)$ since $K \in \mathscr{I}_{1,\text{loc}}(E,\mu)$. The following Proposition is a generalization of Proposition 1 in [7].

Proposition 3. *Assume that an operator* $K \in \mathscr{I}_{1,loc}(E,\mu)$ *induces a determinantal measure* $\mathbb{P}_K$ *on* $\mathrm{Conf}(E)$. *Let* ξ *be a countable measurable partition of* E *and let* g *be a nonnegative bounded measurable function on* E *such that* $(g-1)K \in \mathscr{I}_\xi(E,\mu)$ *and that the operator* $1+(g-1)K$ *is invertible. Then the operators* $B(g,K), \tilde{B}(g,K)$ *induce on* $\mathrm{Conf}(E)$ *a determinantal measure* $\mathbb{P}_{B(g,K)} = \mathbb{P}_{\tilde{B}(g,K)}$ *satisfying*

$$\mathbb{P}_{B(g,K)} = \frac{\Psi_g\mathbb{P}_K}{\displaystyle\int\limits_{\mathrm{Conf}(E)}\Psi_g\,d\mathbb{P}_K}. \tag{6}$$

Indeed, take a bounded measurable function f on E such that

$$(f-1)K \in \mathscr{I}_\xi(E,\mu).$$

We then have

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_K}\Psi_f\Psi_g}{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_K}\Psi_g} = \det(1+(f-1)B(g,K)) = \det(1+(f-1)\tilde{B}(g,K)),$$

and the proposition follows.

Proposition 4. *If* $\Pi \in \mathscr{I}_{1,loc}(E,\mu)$ *is an operator of orthogonal projection onto a closed subspace* H*, and the assumptions of Proposition* 3 *hold for* $K = \Pi$*, a partition* ξ *and a non-negative measurable function* g*, then the operator* $\widetilde{B}(g,\Pi)$ *is the operator of orthogonal projection onto the subspace* $\sqrt{g}H$*.*

This proposition, easy to verify directly, motivates the construction of infinite determinantal measures to which we presently proceed.

3. INFINITE DETERMINANTAL MEASURES

3.1. Construction of infinite determinantal measures.

As before, let $\Pi \in \mathscr{I}_{1,loc}(E,\mu)$ be the operator of orthogonal projection onto a closed subspace $H \subset L_2(E,\mu)$, let V be a finite-dimensional subspace of $L_{2,loc}(E,\mu)$, and let ξ be a countable partition of E.

Assumption 2. (1) *For all sufficiently large* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *we have*

$$\chi_{\{\xi>n\}}\Pi \in \mathscr{I}_{\xi};$$

(2) *For all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *we have*

$$\chi_{\{\xi<n\}}V \subset L_2(E,\mu);$$

(3) *For all sufficiently large* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *we have* $\left\|\chi_{\{\xi>n\}}\Pi\right\| < 1$.

Set

$$H_V^{\xi,n} = \chi_{\{\xi<n\}}H + \chi_{\{\xi<n\}}V,$$

and let $\Pi_V^{\xi,n}$ be the operator of orthogonal projection onto the subspace $H_V^{\xi,n}$. By our assumptions, we have

$$\Pi_V^{\xi,n} \in \mathscr{I}_{1,loc}(E,\mu),$$

and, by the Macchì-Soshnikov Theorem, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the operator $\Pi_V^{\xi,n}$ induces a determinantal measure $\mathbb{P}_{\Pi_V^{\xi,n}}$ on the space $\mathrm{Conf}(E;\{\xi<n\})$.

Theorem 1. *Let* Π*,* V *and* ξ *satisfy Assumption* 2*. There exists a* σ*-finite Borel measure* $\mathbb{B}$ *on* $\mathrm{Conf}(E)$ *satisfying the following conditions:*

(1) *for any* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *we have* $0 < \mathbb{B}\left(\mathrm{Conf}(E;\{\xi<n\})\right) < +\infty$*;*

(2) $\mathbb{B}\left(\mathrm{Conf}(E)\setminus \bigcup\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Conf}(E;\{\xi<n\})\right) = 0$*;*

(3)

$$\frac{\mathbb{B}\big|_{\mathrm{Conf}(E;\{\xi<n\})}}{\mathbb{B}\left(\mathrm{Conf}(E;\{\xi<n\})\right)} = \mathbb{P}_{\Pi_V^{\xi,n}}.$$

The measure $\mathbb{B}$ *is defined uniquely up to multiplication by a constant.*

Remark. The specific choice of a partition ξ in the definition is not important: if a different partition ξ' is used, the same measure is obtained, as can be checked by considering the common refinement of ξ and ξ'.

We denote $\mathbf{B}(\Pi, V)$ the one-dimensional cone of nonzero infinite determinantal measures induced by Π and V.

3.2. **Multiplicative functionals of infinite determinantal measures.**

In this subsection we show that an infinite determinantal measure can be represented as a product of a determinantal measure and a convergent, but not integrable, multiplicative functional.

Proposition 5. *Let* Π*,* V *and* ξ *satisfy Assumption* 2*. Let* $g\colon E \to (0,1]$ *be a Borel function such that*

(1) $(g-1)\Pi \in \mathscr{I}_{\xi}(E,\mu)$ *;*
(2) $\sqrt{g}\,V \subset L_2(E,\mu)$ *.*

Then the multiplicative functional Ψ_g *is* $\mathbf{B}(\Pi, V)$*-almost surely well-defined and non-zero.*

Let Π_V^g be the orthogonal projection onto the subspace

$$H_V^g = \sqrt{g}H + \sqrt{g}V.$$

Under our assumptions, we clearly have

$$\Pi_V^g \in \mathscr{I}_{1,loc}(E,\mu)$$

In particular, we have

$$\Pi_V^{\xi,n} = \Pi_V^{\chi_{\{\xi<n\}}}.$$

Proposition 6. *Let* Π*,* V *and* ξ *satisfy Assumption* 2 *and make the additional assumption* $\|(g-1)\Pi\| < 1$*. Then for any* $\mathbb{B} \in \mathbf{B}(\Pi, V)$ *we also have*

(1) $\Psi_g \in L_1\left(\mathrm{Conf}(E), \mathbb{B}\right)$*;*
(2)

$$\frac{\Psi_g \mathbb{B}}{\displaystyle\int\limits_{\mathrm{Conf}(E)} \Psi_g d\mathbb{B}} = \mathbb{P}_{\Pi_V^g}.$$

We can thus write

$$\mathbb{B} = C \cdot \Psi_{1/g} \mathbb{P}_{\Pi_V^g},$$

where C is a positive constant. An infinite determinantal measure is thus represented as a product of a determinantal probability measure and a convergent non-integrable multiplicative functional.

3.3. **Convergence of approximating kernels.**

Given two closed subspaces H_1, H_2 in $L_2(E,\mu)$, we let $\alpha(H_1,H_2)$ be the angle between H_1 and H_2, defined as the infimum of angles between all nonzero vectors in H_1 and H_2; recall that if one of the subspaces has finite dimension, then the infimum is achieved. Assume

$$V \cap L_2(E,\mu) = 0.$$

Proposition 7. *Let $g_n : E \to (0,1]$ be a sequence of positive measurable functions such that*

1. *for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $(g_n - 1)\Pi \in \mathscr{I}_\xi(E,\mu)$;*
2. *for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\sqrt{g_n} V \subset L_2(E,\mu)$;*
3. *there exists $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that for all n we have*
$$\alpha(\sqrt{g_n}H, \sqrt{g_n}V) \geq \alpha_0;$$
4. *for any $l > 0$ we have*
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{x\in\{\xi\leq l\}} |g_n(x) - 1| = 0.$$

Then, as $n \to \infty$, we have

$$\Pi_V^{g_n} \to \Pi \text{ in } \mathscr{I}_{1,loc}(E,\mu).$$

Corollary 1. *If there exists $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that for all n we have*

$$\alpha(\chi_{\{\xi<n\}}H, \chi_{\{\xi<n\}}V) \geq \alpha_0,$$

then

$$\Pi_V^{\xi,n} \to \Pi \text{ in } \mathscr{I}_{1,loc}(E,\mu).$$

Informally, Corollary 1 means that, as n grows, the induced processes of $\mathbb{B} \in \mathbf{B}(\Pi, V)$ on subsets $\mathrm{Conf}(E; \{\xi < n\})$ converge to the "unperturbed" determinantal process $\mathbb{P}_\Pi$.

4. UNITARILY-INVARIANT MEASURES ON SPACES OF INFINITE MATRICES

4.1. **Pickrell Measures.**

Let $\mathrm{Mat}(n,\mathbb{C})$ be the space of $n\times n$ matrices with complex entries:

$$\mathrm{Mat}(n,\mathbb{C}) = \{z = (z_{ij}),\ i = 1,\dots,n; j = 1,\dots,n\}$$

Let dz be the Lebesgue measure on $\mathrm{Mat}(n,\mathbb{C})$.

Following Pickrell [15], take $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and introduce a measure $\widetilde{\mu}_n^{(s)}$ on $\mathrm{Mat}(n,\mathbb{C})$ by the formula

$$\widetilde{\mu}_n^{(s)} = \det(1 + z^*z)^{-2n-s} dz.$$

The measure $\widetilde{\mu}_n^{(s)}$ is finite if and only if $s > -1$.

For $n_1 < n$, let

$$\pi^n_{n_1} : \operatorname{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C}) \to \operatorname{Mat}(n_1, \mathbb{C})$$

be the natural projection map that to a matrix $z = (z_{ij}), i, j = 1, \dots, n$, assigns the matrix $\pi^n_{n_1}(z) = (z_{ij}), i, j = 1, \dots, n_1$.

The measures $\widetilde{\mu}^{(s)}_n$ have the property of consistency with respect to the projections $\pi^n_{n_1}$. More precisely, following Borodin and Olshanski [3], observe that even if the measure $\widetilde{\mu}^{(s)}_n$ is infinite, the fibres of the projection π^n_{n-1} have finite conditional measure as long as $n + s > 0$. The push-forward $(\pi^n_{n-1})_* \widetilde{\mu}^{(s)}_n$ is consequently well-defined, and for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n > -s$ we have

$$(\pi^n_{n-1})_* \widetilde{\mu}^{(s)}_n = \frac{\pi^{2n-1}(\Gamma(n+s))^2}{\Gamma(2n+s) \cdot \Gamma(2n-1+s)} \mu^{(s)}_{n-1}. \tag{7}$$

Now let $\operatorname{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C})$ be the space of infinite matrices whose rows and columns are indexed by natural numbers and whose entries are complex:

$$\operatorname{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C}) = \{z = (z_{ij}), i, j \in \mathbb{N}, z_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

Let $\pi^\infty_n : \operatorname{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C}) \to \operatorname{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C})$ be the natural projection map that to an infinite matrix $z \in \operatorname{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C})$ assigns its $n \times n$-"corner", the matrix $(z_{ij}), i, j = 1, \dots, n$.

Take $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}, n_0 > -s$. The relation (7) and the Kolmogorov Existence Theorem [8] imply that for any $\lambda > 0$ there exists a unique measure $\mu^{(s,\lambda)}$ on $\operatorname{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C})$ such that for any $n > n_0$ we have

$$(\pi^\infty_n)_* \mu^{(s,\lambda)} = \lambda \left(\prod_{l=n_0}^{n} \pi^{-2n} \frac{\Gamma(2l+s))\Gamma(2l-1+s)}{(\Gamma(l+s))^2} \right) \widetilde{\mu}^{(s)} \tag{8}$$

If $s > -1$, the measures $\mu^{(s,\lambda)}$ are finite, and we let $\mu^{(s)}$ be the probability measure in the family $\mu^{(s,\lambda)}$.

In this case, (7) implies the relation

$$(\pi^\infty_n)_* \mu^{(s)} = \pi^{-n^2} \prod_{l=1}^{n} \frac{\Gamma(2l+s))\Gamma(2l-1+s)}{(\Gamma(l+s))^2} \widetilde{\mu}^{(s)}_n.$$

If $s \leqslant -1$, the measures $\mu^{(s,\lambda)}$ are all infinite, and there is no natural normalization. In this case, slightly abusing notation, we shall omit the super-script λ and write $\mu^{(s)}$ for a measure defined up to a multiplicative constant.

Let $U(\infty)$ be the infinite unitary group: an infinite matrix $u = (u_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ belongs to $U(\infty)$ if there exists a natural number n_0 such that the matrix

$$(u_{ij}), i, j \in [1, n_0]$$

is unitary, while $u_{ii} = 1$ if $i > n_0$ and $u_{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$, $\max(i,j) > n_0$.

The group $U(\infty) \times U(\infty)$ acts on $\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C})$ by multiplication on both sides:

$$T_{(u_1,u_2)}z \ = \ u_1 z u_2^{-1}.$$

The Pickrell measures $\mu^{(s)}$ are by definition $U(\infty) \times U(\infty)$-invariant.

Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 in [5] imply that the measures $\mu^{(s)}$ admit an ergodic decomposition. Furthermore, Theorem 1 in [6] implies that for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$ the ergodic components of the measure $\mu^{(s)}$ are almost surely finite. The main result of this note is an explicit description of the ergodic decomposition of the measures $\mu^{(s)}$ for $s \neq -1-2k, k \in \mathbb{N}$; in particular, for $s < -1$ we shall see that the ergodic decomposition is given by an explicitly computed infinite determinantal measure.

4.2. **Classification of ergodic measures.** First, we recall the classification of ergodic probability $U(\infty) \times U(\infty)$-invariant measures on $\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C})$. This classification has been obtained by Pickrell [15], [16]; Olshanski and Vershik [14] proposed a different approach to this classification in the case of unitarily-invariant measures on the space of infinite Hermitian matrices, and Rabaoui [18], [19] adapted the Olshanski-Vershik approach to the initial problem of Pickrell. In this note, the Olshanski-Vershik approach is followed as well.

Take $z \in \mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C})$, denote

$$z^{(n)} = \pi_n^{\infty} z,$$

and let

$$\lambda_1^{(n)} \geqslant \ldots \geqslant \lambda_n^{(n)} \geqslant 0$$

be the eigenvalues of the matrix

$$\left(z^{(n)}\right)^* z^{(n)},$$

counted with multiplicities, arranged in non-increasing order. To stress dependence on z, we write $\lambda_i^{(n)} = \lambda_i^{(n)}(z)$.

Theorem. (1) *Let η be an ergodic Borel $U(\infty) \times U(\infty)$-invariant probability measure on $\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C})$. Then there exist non-negative real numbers*

$$\gamma \geqslant 0, \ \ x_1 \geqslant x_2 \geqslant \ldots \geqslant x_n \geqslant \ldots \geqslant 0\,,$$

satisfying $\gamma \geqslant \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$, such that for η-almost every $z \in \mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C})$ and any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have:

$$x_i = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\lambda_i^{(n)}(z)}{n^2}, \ \ \gamma = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mathrm{tr}\ \left(z^{(n)}\right)^* z^{(n)}}{n^2}. \tag{9}$$

(2) *Conversely, given non-negative real numbers* $\gamma \geqslant 0,\ \ x_1 \geqslant x_2 \geqslant \ldots \geqslant x_n \geqslant \ldots \geqslant 0$ *such that*

$$\gamma \geqslant \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i\,,$$

there exists a unique $U(\infty)\times U(\infty)$*-invariant ergodic Borel probability measure* η *on* $\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N},\mathbb{C})$ *such that the relations* (9) *hold for* η*-almost all* $z \in \mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N},\mathbb{C})$.

Introduce the *Pickrell set* $\Omega_P \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}}$ by the formula

$$\Omega_P = \left\{\omega = (\gamma, x)\colon x = (x_n),\ n \in \mathbb{N},\ x_n \geqslant x_{n+1} \geqslant 0,\ \gamma \geqslant \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i\right\}.$$

The set Ω_P is, by definition, a closed subset of $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}}$ endowed with the Tychonoff topology.

By Proposition 3 in [5], the subset of ergodic $U(\infty)\times U(\infty)$-invariant measures is a Borel subset of the space of all Borel probability measures on $\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N},\mathbb{C})$ endowed with the natural Borel structure. Furthermore, if one denotes η_ω the Borel ergodic probability measure corresponding to a point $\omega \in \Omega_P$, $\omega = (\gamma, x)$, then the correspondence

$$\omega \longrightarrow \eta_\omega$$

is a Borel isomorphism of the Pickrell set Ω_P and the set of $U(\infty)\times U(\infty)$-invariant ergodic probability measures on $\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N},\mathbb{C})$.

The Ergodic Decomposition Theorem (Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 of [5]) implies that each Pickrell measure $\mu^{(s)}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, induces a unique decomposing measure $\overline{\mu}^{(s)}$ on Ω_P such that we have

$$\mu^{(s)} = \int_{\Omega_P} \eta_\omega \, d\overline{\mu}^{(s)}(\omega)\ . \tag{10}$$

The integral is understood in the usual weak sense, see [5].

For $s > -1$, the measure $\overline{\mu}^{(s)}$ is a probability measure on Ω_P, while for $s \leqslant -1$ the measure $\overline{\mu}^{(s)}$ is infinite.

Set

$$\Omega_P^0 = \{(\gamma, \{x_n\}) \in \Omega_P : x_n > 0 \text{ for all } n,\ \gamma = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n\}.$$

The subset Ω_P^0 is of course not closed in Ω_P.

Introduce a map

$$\mathrm{conf} : \Omega_P \to \mathrm{Conf}((0, +\infty))$$

that to a point $\omega \in \Omega_P, \omega = (\gamma, \{x_n\})$ assigns the configuration

$$\mathrm{conf}(\omega) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots) \in \mathrm{Conf}((0, +\infty)).$$

The map $\omega \to \mathrm{conf}(\omega)$ is bijective in restriction to the subset Ω_P^0.

Remark. In the definition of the map conf, the "asymptotic eigenvalues" x_n are counted with multiplicities, while, if $x_{n_0} = 0$ for some n_0, then x_{n_0} and all subsequent terms are discarded, and the resulting configuration is finite. We shall see, however, that the complement $\Omega_P \setminus \Omega_P^0$ is $\overline{\mu}^{(s)}$-negligible for all $s \neq -1 - 2k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and, consequently, that, $\overline{\mu}^{(s)}$-almost surely, all configurations are infinite. It will also develop that, $\overline{\mu}^{(s)}$-almost surely, all multiplicities are equal to one.

We proceed to the formulation of the main result of this note, an explicit description of the measures $\overline{\mu}^{(s)}$ for $s \neq -1 - 2k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

5. THE MAIN RESULT

5.1. **Ergodic decomposition of finite Pickrell measures.** Consider the half-line $(0, +\infty)$ endowed with the standard Lebesgue measure Leb.

Introduce a kernel $K^{(s)}$ by the formula

$$K^{(s)}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{J_s\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{x_1}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{x_2}}J_{s+1}\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{x_2}}\right) - J_s\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{x_2}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{x_1}}J_{s+1}\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{x_1}}\right)}{x_1 - x_2}, \; x_1 > 0, \; x_2 > 0\,.$$

The kernel $K^{(s)}$ induces on the space $L_2((0, +\infty), \mathrm{Leb})$ a projection operator, for which, slightly abusing notation, we keep the same symbol $K^{(s)}$; the change of variable $y = 2/\sqrt{x}$ reduces $K^{(s)}$ to the usual Bessel kernel induced by the Hankel transform (see [22]). The operator $K^{(s)}$ is locally of trace class, and, by the Macchì-Soshnikov Theorem, it induces a determinantal measure $\mathbb{P}_{K^{(s)}}$ on $\mathrm{Conf}(0, +\infty)$. The measure $\mathbb{P}_{K^{(s)}}$ is precisely the decomposing measure for the Pickrell measure $\mu^{(s)}$ as is shown by the following

Proposition 8. *Let $s > -1$. Then $\overline{\mu}^{(s)}(\Omega_P^0) = 1$ and the $\overline{\mu}^{(s)}$-almost sure bijection $\omega \to \mathrm{conf}(\omega)$ identifies the measure $\overline{\mu}^{(s)}$ with the determinantal measure $\mathbb{P}_{K^{(s)}}$.*

5.2. **Ergodic decomposition of infinite Pickrell measures.** Now take $s < -1$, $s \neq -1 - 2k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let n_s be such that

$$\frac{s}{2} + n_s \in \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

Introduce a finite-dimensional subspace $V^{(s)} \subset L_{2,loc}((0, +\infty), \mathrm{Leb})$ by the formula

$$V^{(s)} = \mathrm{span}\left(x^{-s/2-1}, \dots, x^{-s/2-n_s+1}\right) .$$

Let ξ be the partition

$$(0,+\infty)=\bigsqcup_{n\in\mathbb{N},n=2k}\left[\frac{1}{k+1},\frac{1}{k}\right)\bigsqcup_{n\in\mathbb{N},n=2k+1}(k,k+1]\,.$$

For any $\varepsilon>0$, $s>-1$, we have

$$\chi_{[\varepsilon,+\infty)}K^{(s)}\in\mathscr{I}_{\xi}((0,+\infty),\mathrm{Leb})\ .$$

For any $\varepsilon>0$, $s\in\mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\chi_{[\varepsilon,+\infty)}V^{(s)}\subset L_2((0,+\infty),\mathrm{Leb}).$$

Consequently, the one-dimensional cone $\mathbf{B}(K^{(s_1)},V^{(s_2)})$ of infinite determinantal measures introduced in Subsection 2.1 is well-defined for any $s_1>-1$, $s_2\leqslant-1$.

Theorem 2. *Let $s<-1$, $s\neq-1-2k$, $k\in\mathbb{N}$, and let $\overline{\mu}^{(s)}$ be the decomposing measure, defined by (10), of the Pickrell measure $\mu^{(s)}$. Then*

1. $\overline{\mu}^{(s)}(\Omega_P\backslash\Omega_P^0)=0$*;*
2. *the $\overline{\mu}^{(s)}$-almost sure bijection $\omega\to\mathrm{conf}(\omega)$ identifies $\overline{\mu}^{(s)}$ with an infinite determinantal measure*

$$\mathbb{B}\in\mathbf{B}(K^{(s+2n_s)},V^{(s)}).$$

The detailed proof of Theorem 2 will be published in the sequel to this note.

Acknowledgements. Grigori Olshanski posed the problem to me, and I am greatly indebted to him. I am deeply grateful to Alexei Borodin, Alexei Klimenko, Klaus Schmidt and Alexander Soshnikov for many useful discussions. I am deeply grateful to Ramil Ulmaskulov for typesetting parts of the manuscript.

This work has been supported in part by an Alfred P. Sloan Research Fellowship, a Dynasty Foundation Fellowship, by the Grant MK-6734.2012.1 of the President of the Russian Federation, by the Programme “Dynamical systems and mathematical control theory” of the Presidium of the Russian Academy of Sciences, by the RFBR-CNRS grant 10-01-93115-NTsNIL and by the RFBR grant 11-01-00654.

During work on this paper I was visiting the Institut Mittag-Leffler of the Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences in Djursholm, the Institut Henri Poincaré in Paris, the Centre International de Rencontres Mathématiques in Marseille, the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics in Trieste, and the Joint Institute for Nuclear Research in Dubna. I am deeply grateful to these institutions for their warm hospitality.

REFERENCES

[1] Bogachev, Vladimir I. Measure theory. Vol. II. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2007.

[2] Alexei Borodin; Andrei Okounkov; Grigori Olshanski, Asymptotics of Plancherel measures for symmetric groups, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 13 (2000), 481-515.

[3] Borodin, Alexei; Olshanski, Grigori Infinite random matrices and ergodic measures. Comm. Math. Phys. 223 (2001), no. 1, 87–123.

[4] A.M. Borodin, E. M. Rains, Eynard-Mehta theorem, Schur process, and their pfaffian analogs, J. Stat. Phys. 121 (2005), 291–317.

[5] Alexander I. Bufetov, Ergodic decomposition for measures quasi-invariant under Borel actions of inductively compact groups, arXiv:1105.0664, May 2011.

[6] Alexander I. Bufetov, Finiteness of Ergodic Unitarily Invariant Measures on Spaces of Infinite Matrices, arXiv:1108.2737, August 2011, to appear in Annales de l'Institut Fourier.

[7] Alexander I. Bufetov, Multiplicative functionals of determinantal processes, Russian Mathematical Surveys, 67:1(403) (2012), 177–178.

[8] Andrei Nikolaevich Kolmogorov, Grundbegriffe der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung, Springer Verlag, 1933.

[9] A.Lenard, States of classical statistical mechanical systems of infinitely many particles. I. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 59 (1975), no. 3, 219–239.

[10] Macchi, Odile The coincidence approach to stochastic point processes. Advances in Appl. Probability 7 (1975), 83–122.

[11] Grigori Olshanski The quasi-invariance property for the Gamma kernel determinantal measure. Adv. Math. 226 (2011), no. 3, 2305–2350.

[12] Olshanski, Grigori. Unitary representations of infinite-dimensional pairs (G,K) and the formalism of R. Howe, in: Representation of Lie Groups and Related Topics, A.M. Vershik and D. P. Zhelobenko, editors, Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 7, Gordon and Breach 1990; online at `http://www.iitp.ru/upload/userpage/52/HoweForm.pdf`

[13] Olshanski, Grigori. Unitary representations of infinite-dimensional classical groups (Russian), D.Sci Thesis, Institute of Geography of the Russian Academy of Sciences; online at `http://www.iitp.ru/upload/userpage/52/Olshanski_thesis.pdf`

[14] Olshanski, Grigori; Vershik, Anatoli Ergodic unitarily invariant measures on the space of infinite Hermitian matrices. Contemporary mathematical physics, 137–175, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 175, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.

[15] Pickrell, Doug Mackey analysis of infinite classical motion groups. Pacific J. Math. 150 (1991), no. 1, 139–166.

[16] Pickrell, Doug, Separable representations of automorphism groups of infinite symmetric spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 90 (1990), 1–26.

[17] Pickrell, Doug, Measures on infinite-dimensional Grassmann manifolds, J. Funct. Anal. 70 (1987), 323–356.

[18] Rabaoui, Marouane Asymptotic harmonic analysis on the space of square complex matrices. J. Lie Theory 18 (2008), no. 3, 645–670.

[19] Rabaoui, Marouane A Bochner type theorem for inductive limits of Gelfand pairs. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 58 (2008), no. 5, 1551–1573.

[20] Reed, Michael; Simon, Barry Methods of modern mathematical physics. vol.I-IV. Second edition. Academic Press, Inc. New York, 1980.

[21] Soshnikov, A. Determinantal random point fields. (Russian) Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 55 (2000), no. 5(335), 107–160; translation in Russian Math. Surveys 55 (2000), no. 5, 923–975

[22] Craig A. Tracy and Harold Widom. Level spacing distributions and the Bessel kernel. Comm. Math. Phys. Volume 161, Number 2 (1994), 289–309.

[23] Vershik, Anatoly M. A description of invariant measures for actions of certain infinite-dimensional groups. (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 218 (1974), 749–752.

THE STEKLOV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, MOSCOW

THE INSTITUTE FOR INFORMATION TRANSMISSION PROBLEMS, MOSCOW

NATIONAL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY HIGHER SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS, MOSCOW

THE INDEPENDENT UNIVERSITY OF MOSCOW

RICE UNIVERSITY, HOUSTON